PyRK: A Python Package For Nuclear Reactor Kinetics

Kathryn Huff^{‡*}

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2HToG61wMWI>

✦

Abstract—In this work, a new python package, PyRK (Python for Reactor Kinetics), is introduced. PyRK has been designed to simulate, in zero dimensions, the transient, coupled, thermal-hydraulics and neutronics of timedependent behavior in nuclear reactors. PyRK is intended for analysis of many commonly studied transient scenarios including normal reactor startup and shutdown as well as abnormal scenarios including Beyond Design Basis Events (BDBEs) such as Accident Transients Without Scram (ATWS). For robustness, this package employs various tools within the scientific python ecosystem. For additional ease of use, it employs a reactor-agnostic, object-oriented data model, allowing nuclear engineers to rapidly prototype nuclear reactor control and safety systems in the context of their novel nuclear reactor designs.

Index Terms—engineering, nuclear reactor, package

Introduction

Time-dependent fluctuations in neutron population, fluid flow, and heat transfer are essential to understanding the performance and safety of a reactor. Such *transients* include normal reactor startup and shutdown as well as abnormal scenarios including Beyond Design Basis Events (BDBEs) such as Accident Transients Without Scram (ATWS). However, no open source tool currently exists for reactor transient analysis. To fill this gap, PyRK (Python for Reactor Kinetics) [\[Huff2015\]](#page-6-0), a new python package for nuclear reactor kinetics, was created. PyRK is the first open source tool capable of:

- time-dependent,
- lumped parameter thermal-hydraulics,
- coupled with neutron kinetics,
- in 0-dimensions,
- for nuclear reactor analysis,
- of any reactor design,
- in an object-oriented context.

As background, this paper will introduce necessary concepts for understanding the PyRK model and will describe the differential equations representing the coupled physics at hand. Next, the implementation of the data model, simulation framework, and numerical solution will be described. This discussion will include the use, in PyRK [\[Huff2015\]](#page-6-0), of

* *Corresponding author: katyhuff@gmail.com*

‡ *University of California, Berkeley*

many parts of the scientific python software ecosystem such as NumPy [\[vanderWalt2011\]](#page-6-1) for array manipulation, SciPy [\[Milman2011\]](#page-6-2) for ODE and PDE solvers, nose [\[Pellerin2015\]](#page-6-3) for testing, Pint [\[Grecco2014\]](#page-6-4) for unit-checking, Sphinx [\[Brandl2009\]](#page-6-5) for documentation, and Matplotlib [\[Hunter2007\]](#page-6-6) for plotting.

Background

Fundamentally, nuclear reactor transient analyses must characterize the relationship between neutron population and temperature. These two characteristics are coupled together by reactivity, ρ , which characterizes the departure of the nuclear reactor from *criticality*:

$$
\rho = \frac{k-1}{k} \tag{1}
$$

where

$$
\rho = \text{reactivity} \tag{2}
$$

$$
k = \text{neutron multiplication factor} \tag{3}
$$

= neutrons causing fission neutrons produced by fission (4)

The reactor power is stable (*critical*) when the effective multiplication factor, *k*, equals 1. For this reason, in all power reactors, the scalar flux of neutrons determines the power. The reactor power, in turn, affects the temperature. Reactivity feedback then results due to the temperature dependence of geometry, material densities, the neutron spectrum, and reaction probabilities [\[Bell1970\]](#page-6-7). This concept is captured in the feedback diagram in Figure [1.](#page-1-0)

One common method for approaching these transient simulations is a zero-dimensional approximation which results in differential equations called the Point Reactor Kinetics Equations (PRKE). PyRK provides a simulation interface that drives the solution of these equations in a modular, reactor design agnostic manner. In particular, PyRK provides an object oriented data model for generically representing a nuclear reactor system and provides the capability to exchange solution methods from one simulation to another.

The Point Reactor Kinetics Equations can only be understood in the context of neutronics, thermal-hydraulics, reactivity, delayed neutrons, and reactor control.

Copyright \odot *2015 Kathryn Huff. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.*

$$
\rho(t) = \text{total reactivity}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_f(t) = \text{reactivity from feedback}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{\text{ext}}(t) = \text{external reactivity insertion}
$$

and where

$$
\rho_f(t) = \sum_i \alpha_i \frac{\delta T_i}{\delta t}
$$

\n
$$
T_i = \text{temperature of component } i
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_i = \text{temperature reactivity coefficient of } i.
$$

The PRKE

The Point Reactor Kinetics Equations (PRKE) are the set of equations that capture neutronics and thermal hydraulics when the time-dependent variation of the neutron flux shape is neglected. That is, neutron population is captured as a scalar magnitude (a *point*) rather than a geometric distribution. In the PRKE, neutronics and thermal hydraulics are coupled primarily by reactivity, but have very different characteristic time scales, so the equations are quite stiff.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\np \\
\zeta_1 \\
\zeta_2 \\
\vdots \\
\zeta_j\n\end{bmatrix}\n=\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\frac{\rho(t,T_i,\cdots)-\beta}{\Lambda}p + \sum_{j=1}^{j=J} \lambda_{d,j}\zeta_j \\
\frac{\beta_1}{\Lambda}p - \lambda_{d,1}\zeta_1 \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\beta_j}{\Lambda}p - \lambda_{d,j}\zeta_j\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\zeta_j \\
\zeta_j \\
\vdots \\
\zeta_k\n\end{bmatrix}\n=\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\frac{\beta_j}{\Lambda}p - \lambda_{d,j}\zeta_j \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\beta_k}{\Lambda}p - \lambda_{d,j}\zeta_j \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\beta_k}{\Lambda}p - \lambda_{rP,1}\omega_1 \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\alpha_k}{\Lambda}p - \lambda_{FP,k}\omega_k\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_{k}p - \lambda_{FP,k}\omega_k
$$
\n
$$
\tau_i\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\kappa_{kp} - \lambda_{FP,k}\omega_k \\
f_i(p, C_{p,i}, T_i, \dots)\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

(5)

where

$$
\rho(t) = \text{total } \text{reactivity}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_f(t) = \text{reactivity from } \text{feedback}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{ext}(t) = \text{external } \text{reactivity} \text{ ins}
$$

Fig. 1: Reactivity feedback couples neutron kinetics and thermal

Neutronics

hydraulics

The heat produced in a nuclear reactor is due to nuclear fission reactions. In a fission reaction, a neutron collides inelastically with a 'fissionable' isotope, which subsequently splits. This reaction emits both heat and neutrons. When the emitted neutrons go on to collide with another isotope, this is called a nuclear chain reaction and is the basis of power production in a nuclear reactor. The study of the population, speed, direction, and energy spectrum of neutrons in a reactor as well as the related rate of fission at a particular moment is called neutronics or neutron transport. Neutronics simulations characterize the production and destruction of neutrons in a reactor and depend on many reactor material properties and component geometries (e.g., atomic densities and design configurations).

Thermal-Hydraulics

Reactor thermal hydraulics describes the mechanics of flow and heat in fluids present in the reactor core. As fluids are heated or cooled in a reactor core (e.g. due to changes in fission power) pressure, density, flow, and other parameters of the system respond accordingly. The fluid of interest in a nuclear reactor is typically the coolant. The hydraulic properties of this fluid depend primarily on its intrinsic properties and the characteristics of the cooling system. Thermal hydraulics is also concerned with the heat transfer between the various components of the reactor (e.g., heat generation in the reactor fuel heat removal by the coolant). Heat transfer behavior depends on everything from the moderator density and temperature to the neutron-driven power production in the fuel.

Reactivity

The two physics (neutronics and thermal-hydraulics) are coupled by the notion of reactivity, which is related to the probability of fission. The temperature and density of materials can increase or decrease this probability. Fission probability directly impacts the neutron production and destruction rates and therefore, the reactor power. The simplest form of the equations dictating this feedback are:

$$
\rho(t) = \rho_0 + \rho_f(t) + \rho_{ext}
$$

In the above matrix equation, the following variable definitions are used:

 $p =$ reactor power (6)

$$
\rho(t, T_{fuel}, T_{cool}, T_{mod}, T_{refl}) = \text{reactivity} \tag{7}
$$

$$
\beta = \text{fraction of neutrons that are delayed} \tag{8}
$$

 β _{*j*} = fraction of delayed neutrons from precursor group j

$$
\zeta_j = \text{concentration of precursors of group } j \tag{10}
$$

$$
\lambda_{d,j} = \text{ decay constant of precursor group } j \tag{11}
$$

 Λ = mean generation time (12)

$$
\omega_k = \text{decay heat from FP group k} \tag{13}
$$

 κ_k = heat per fission for decay FP group k (14)

$$
\lambda_{FP,k} = \text{decay constant for decay FP group } k \tag{15}
$$

$$
T_i = \text{temperature of component } i \tag{16}
$$

The PRKE in equation [5](#page-1-1) can be solved in numerous ways, using either loose or tight coupling. Operator splitting, loosely coupled in time, is a stable technique that neglects higher order nonlinear terms in exchange for solution stability. Under this approach, the system can be split clearly into a neutronics subblock and a thermal-hydraulics sub-block which can be solved independently at each time step, combined, and solved again for the next time step.

$$
U^n = \left[\begin{array}{c} N^n \\ T^n \end{array} \right] \tag{17}
$$

$$
N^{n+1} = N^n + kf(U^n) \tag{18}
$$

$$
U^* = \left[\begin{array}{c} N^{n+1} \\ T^n \end{array} \right] \tag{19}
$$

$$
T^{n+1} = T^n + kf(U^*)
$$
 (20)

PyRK Implementation

Now that the premise of the problem is clear, the implementation of the package can be discussed. Fundamentally, PyRK is object oriented and modular. The important object classes in PyRK are:

- SimInfo: Reads the input file, manages the solution matrix, Timer, and communication between neutronics and thermal hydraulics.
- Neutronics : Calculates $\frac{dP}{dt}$, $\frac{d\zeta_j}{dt}$, and $\frac{d\omega_j}{dt}$, based on $\frac{dT_i}{dt}$ and the external reactivity insertion.
- THSystem : Manages various THComponents and facilitates their communication during the lumped parameter heat transfer calculation.
- THComponent : Represents a single thermal volume, made of a single material, (usually a volume like "fuel" or "coolant" or "reflector" with thermal or reactivity feedback behavior distinct from other components in the system.
- Material : A class for defining the intensive properties of a material (c_p, ρ, k_{th}) . Currently, subclasses include FLiBe, Graphite, Sodium, SFRMetal, and Kernel.

A reactor is made of objects, so an object-oriented data model provides the most intuitive user experience for describing a reactor system, its materials, thermal bodies, neutron populations, and their attributes. In PyRK, the system, comprised by those objects is built up by the user in the input file in an intuitive fashion. Each of the classes that enable this object oriented model will be discussed in detail in this section.

SimInfo

(9)

PyRK has implemented a casual context manager pattern by encapsulating simulation information in a SimInfo object. This class keeps track of the neutronics system and its data, the thermal hydraulics system (THSystem) and its components (THComponents), as well as timing and other simulation-wide parameters.

In particular, the SimInfo object is responsible for capturing the information conveyed in the input file. The input file is a python file holding parameters specific to the reactor design and transient scenario. However, a more robust solution is anticipated for future versions of the code, relying on a json input file rather than python, for more robust validation options.

The current output is a plain text log of the input, runtime messages, and the solution matrix. The driver automatically generates a number of plots. However, a more robust solution is anticipated for v0.2, relying on an output database backend in hdf5, via the pytables package.

Neutronics

The neutronics object holds the first 1+j+k equations in the right hand side of the matrix equation in [5.](#page-1-1) In particular, it takes ownership of the vector of $1 + j + k$ independent variables and their solution. It also customizes the equations based on paramters noted in the user input file. The parameters customizing these equations for a particular reactor include α for each component, j , Λ , k , and the fissionable nuclide.

The Neutronics class has three attributes that are sufficiently complex as to warrant their own classes: PrecursorData, DecayHeat, and ReactivityInsertion.

A Neutronics object can own one PrecursorData object. In this class, the input parameters *J* and the fissionable nuclide are used to select, from a database supplied by PyRK, standardized data representing delayed neutron precursor concentrations and the effective decay constants of those precursors $(\lambda_{d,j}, \beta_j, \zeta_j)$. That nuclear data is stored in the PrecursorData class, and is made available to the Neutronics class through a simple API.

A Neutronics object can also own one DecayHeat object. In this class, the input parameters K , and the fissionable nuclide are used to select, the fission product decay data $(\lambda_{FP,k}, \omega_k, \kappa_k)$. The DecayHeat class provides a simple API for accessing those decay constants, fission product fractions, and weighting factors.

Finally, a Neutronics object can own one ReactivityInsertion object. This defines the external reactivity, *rhoext*, resulting from control rods, external neutron sources, etc. With this ReactivityInsertion object, the Neutronics class is equipped to

Fig. 2: The reactivity insertion that can drive the PyRK simulator can be selected and customized from three models.

drive a reactivity insertion accident scenario. That is, an accident scenario can be driven by an insertion of reactivity (e.g. the removal of a control rod). In PyRK, this reactivity insertion capability is captured in the ReactivityInsertion class, from which reactivity insertions can be selected and customized as in Figure [2.](#page-3-0)

THSystem

A reactor is made up of many material structures which, in addition to their neutronic response, vary in their temperature response. These structures may include fuel, cladding, coolant, reflectors, or other components. In PyRK, a heat transfer model of the changing temperatures and material properties of those components has been implemented as a lumped capacitance model. This model approximates heat transfer into discrete components, approximating the effects of geometry for "lumps" of material.

In this model, heat transfer through a system of components is modeled analogously to current through a resistive circuit. Table [1](#page-3-1) describes the various canonical forms of lumped capacitance heat transfer modes.

Based on the modes in Table [1,](#page-3-1) we can formulate a model for component temperatures specific to to the geometry of a particular reactor design. This might include fuel pellets, particles, or pebbles, cladding, coolant, reflectors or other structures in the design.

Fundamentally, to determine the temperature change in a thermal body of the reactor, we rely on relations between temperature, heat capacity, and thermal resistance. As in Table [1,](#page-3-1) the heat flow out of body *i* is the sum of surface heat flow by conduction, convection, radiation, and other mechanisms

TABLE 1: Lumped Capacitance for various heat transfer modes [\[Lienhard2011\]](#page-6-8)

to each adjacent body, *j* [\[Lienhard2011\]](#page-6-8):

$$
Q = Q_i + \sum_j Q_{ij}
$$

= $Q_i + \sum_j \frac{T_i - T_j}{R_{th,ij}}$

where

 \dot{Q} = total heat flow out of body i $[J \cdot s^{-1}]$ Q_i = other heat transfer, a constant $[J \cdot s^{-1}]$ T_i = temperature of body i [*K*] T_i = temperature of body *j* [*K*] *j* = adjacent bodies [−] R_{th} = thermal resistence of the component $[K \cdot s \cdot J^{-1}]$.

Note also that the thermal energy storage and release in the body is accordingly related to the heat flow via capacitance:

$$
\frac{dT_i}{dt} = \frac{-Q + \dot{S}_i}{C_i}
$$

where

C = heat capacity of the object
$$
[J \cdot K^{-1}]
$$

= $(\rho c_p V)_i$

 \dot{S}_i = source term, thermal energy conversion $[J \cdot s^{-1}]$

Together, these form the equation:

$$
\frac{dT_i}{dt} = \frac{-\left[Q_i + \sum_j \frac{T_i - T_j}{R_{th,ij}}\right] + \dot{S}_i}{\left(\rho c_p V\right)_i}
$$

THComponent

The THSystem class is made up of THComponent objects, linked together at runtime by heat transfer interfaces selected by the user in the input file:

```
fuel = th.THComponent(name="fuel",
                      mat=Kernel(name="fuelkernel"),
                      vol=vol_fuel,
                      T0=t_fuel,
                      alpha_temp=alpha_f,
                      timer=ti,
                      heatgen=True,
                      power_tot=power_tot)
cool = th.THComponent (name="cool",
                      mat=Flibe(name="flibe"),
                      vol=vol_cool,
                      T0=t_cool,
                      alpha_temp=alpha_c,
                      timer=ti)
clad = th.THComponent(name="clad",
                      mat=Zirconium(name="zirc"),
                      vol=vol_clad,
                      T0=t_clad,
                      alpha_temp=alpha_clad,
                      timer=ti)
```

```
components = [fuel, clad, cool]
```

```
# The fuel conducts to the cladding
fuel.add_conduction('clad', area=a_fuel)
clad.add_conduction('fuel', area=a_fuel)
```

```
# The clad convects to the coolant
clad.add_convection('cool', h=h_clad, area=a_clad)
cool.add_convection('clad', h=h_clad, area=a_clad)
```
In the above example, the mat argument must include a Material object.

Material

The PyRK Material class allows for materials of any kind to be defined within the system. This class represents a generic material and daughter classes inheriting from the Material class describe specific types of material (water, graphite, uranium oxide, etc.). The attributes of a material object are intrinsic material properties (such as thermal conductivity, k_th) as well as material-specific behaviors.

Given these object classes, the burden of the user is then confined to:

- defining the simulation information (such as duration or preferred solver)
- defining the neutronic parameters associated with each thermal component
- defining the materials of each component
- identifying the thermal components
- and connecting those components together by their dominant heat transfer mode.

Quality Assurance

For robustness, a number of tools were used to improve robustness and reproducibility in this package. These include:

- GitHub : for version control hosting [\[GitHub2015\]](#page-6-9)
- Matplotlib : for plotting [\[Hunter2007\]](#page-6-6)
- Nose : for unit testing [\[Pellerin2015\]](#page-6-3)
- NumPy : for holding and manipulating arrays of floats [\[vanderWalt2011\]](#page-6-1)
- Pint : for dimensional analysis and unit conversions [\[Grecco2014\]](#page-6-4)
- SciPy : for ode solvers [\[Oliphant2007\]](#page-6-10), [\[Milman2011\]](#page-6-2)
- Sphinx : for automated documentation [\[Brandl2009\]](#page-6-5)
- Travis-CI : for continuous integration [\[Travis2015\]](#page-6-11)

Together, these tools create a functional framework for distribution and reuse.

Unit Validation

Of particular note, the Pint package[Grecco2014]_ is used for keeping track of units, converting between them, and throwing errors when unit conversions are not sane. For example, in the code below, the user is able to initialize the material object with k_{th} and c_p in any valid unit for those quantities. Upon initialization of those member variables, the input values are converted to SI using Pint.

```
def __init__(self, name=None,
             k=0*units.watt/units.meter/units.kelvin,
             cp=0*units.joule/units.kg/units.kelvin,
             dm=DensityModel()):
    """Initalizes a material
```

```
:param name: The name of the component
:type name: str.
:param k: thermal conductivity, :math: 'k_{th}'
```

```
:type k: float, pint.unit.Quantity
:param cp: specific heat capacity, :math:'c_p'
:type cp: float, pint.unit.Quantity
:param dm: The density of the material
:type dm: DensityModel object
"""
self.name = nameself.k = k.to('watt/meter/kelvin')
validation.validate qe("k", k,
    0*units.watt/units.meter/units.kelvin)
self.cp = cp.to('joule/kg/kelvin')
validation.validate_ge("cp", cp,
    0*units.joule/units.kg/units.kelvin)
self.dm = dm
```
The above code employs a validation utility written for PyRK and used throughout the code to confirm (at runtime) types, units, and valid ranges for parameters of questionable validity. Those validators are simple, but versatile, and in combination with the Pint package, provide a robust environment for users to experiment with parameters in the safe confines of dimensional accuracy.

Minimal Example : SFR Reactivity Insertion

To demonstrate the use of this simulation framework, we give a minimal example. This example approximates a 1 second impulse-reactivity insertion in a sodium cooled fast reactor. This type of simulation is common, as it represents the instantaneous removal and reinsertion of a control rod. The change in reactivity results in a slightly delayed change in power and corresponding increases in temperatures throughout the system. For simplicity, the heat exchanger outside of the reactor core is assumed to be perfectly efficient and the inlet coolant temperature is accordingly held constant throughout the transient.

Minimal Example: Input Parameters

The parameters used to configure the simulation were retrieved from ?? and ??. The detailed input is listed in the full input file with illuminating comments as follows:

```
import math
from ur import units
import th_component as th
from timer import Timer
from sfrmetal import SFRMetal
from sodium import Sodium
#############################################
#
# User Workspace
#
#############################################
# Timing: t0=initial, dt=step, tf=final
t0 = 0.00*units.secondsdt = 0.005*units.secondstf = 5.0*units.seconds
# Temperature feedbacks of reactivity (Ragusa2009)
# Fuel: Note Doppler model not implemented
alpha_f = (-0.8841*units.pcm/units.kelvin)
# Coolant
alpha_c = (0.1263*units.pcm/units.kelvin)
# Initial Temperatures
t_fuel = 737.033*units.kelvint_{cool} = 721.105*units.kelvin
```
t_inlet = units.Quantity(400.0, units.degC)

```
# Neglect decay heating
kappa = 0.00# Geometry
# fuel pin radius
r_fuel = 0.00348*units.meter# active core height
h core = 0.8*units.meter# surface area of fuel pin
a_fuel = 2*mathbf{n}<sub>r</sub>i*r_fuel*h_core
# volume of a fuel pin
vol_fuel = math.pi *pow(r_fuel, 2) *h_core# hydraulic area per fuel pin
a_flow = 5.281e-5*pow(units.meter, 2)
# volume of coolant per pin
vol\_cool = a_f1ow*h\_core# velocity of coolant
v_{\text{cool}} = 5.0*units.meter/units.second# constant heat transfer approximation
h_{\text{cool}} = 1.0e5*(units.next/units.kelvin/
                 pow(units.meter, 2))
# power density
```
omega = 4.77E8*units.watt/pow(units.meter, 3) # total power, watts, thermal, per 1 fuel pin power_tot = omega*vol_fuel

```
#############################################
#
# Required Input
#
#############################################
# maximum number of ode solver internal steps
nsteps = 1000# Timer instance, based on t0, tf, dt
ti = Timer(t0=t0, tf=tf, dt=dt)
# Number of precursor groups
n pg = 6# Number of decay heat groups
n_d = 0# Fissioning Isotope
fission_iso = "sfr'# Spectrum
spectrum = "fast"# False to turn reactivity feedback off.
feedback = True# External Reactivity
from reactivity_insertion \
   import ImpulseReactivityInsertion as pulse
rho\_ext = pulse(timer=ti,t_start=1.0*units.seconds,
                t_end=2.0*units.seconds,
                rho_init=0.0*units.delta_k,
                rho_max=0.05*units.delta_k)
fuel = th.THComponent (name="fuel",
                      mat=SFRMetal(name="sfrfuel"),
```

```
vol=vol_fuel,
T0=t_fuel,
alpha_temp=alpha_f,
timer=ti,
heatgen=True,
power_tot=power_tot)
```

```
mat=Sodium(name="sodiumcoolant"),
                      vol=vol_cool,
                      T0=t_cool,
                      alpha_temp=alpha_c,
                      timer=ti)
inlet = th.THComponent(name="inlet",
                      mat=Sodium(name="sodiumcoolant"),
                      vol=vol_cool,
                      T0=t_inlet,
                      alpha_temp=0.0*units.pcm/units.K,
                      timer=ti)
# The clad convects with the coolant
fuel.add_convection('cool', h=h_cool, area=a_fuel)
cool.add_convection('fuel', h=h_cool, area=a_fuel)
# The coolant flows
cool.add_mass_trans('inlet', H=h_core, u=v_cool)
```
components = [fuel, cool, inlet]

Minimal Example Results

The results of this simulation are a set of plots, the creation and labelling of which are enabled by matplotlib. In the first of these plots, the transient, beginning at time $t = 1s$, is driven by a step reactivity insertion of 0.5 "dollars" of reactivity as in Figure [3.](#page-5-0)

Fig. 3: A prompt reactivity insertion, with a duration of 1 second and a magnitude of 0.05δ*k*/*k drives the simulation. It represents the prompt partial removal and reinsertion of a control rod.*

The power responsds accordingly as in Figure [4.](#page-6-12)

Finally, the temperatures in the key components of the system follow the trends in Figure [5.](#page-6-13)

These are typical of the kinds of results nuclear engineers seek from this kind of analysis and can be quickly reparameterized in the process of prototyping nuclear reactor designs. This particular simulation is not sufficiently detailed to represent a benchmark, as the effect of the cladding on heat transfer is neglected, as is the Doppler model controlling fuel temperature feedback. However, it presents a sufficiently interesting case to demonstrate the use of the PyRK tool.

Fig. 4: The power in the reactor closely follows the reactivity insertion, but is magnified as expected.

Fig. 5: While the inlet temperature remains constant as a boundary condition, the temperatures of fuel and coolant respond to the reactivity insertion event.

Conclusions and Future Work

The PyRK library provides a modular simulation environment for a common and essential calculation in nuclear engineering. PyRK is the first freely distributed tool for neutron kinetics. By supplying and API for ANSI standard precursor data, a modular material definition framework, and coupled lumped parameter thermal hydraulics with zero-dimensional neutron kinetics in an object-oriented modeling paradigm, PyRK provides design-agnostic toolkit for accident analysis potentially useful to all nuclear reactor designers and analysts.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the contributions of collaborators Xin Wang, Per Peterson, Ehud Greenspan, and Massimiliano Fratoni at the University of California Berkeley. This research was performed using funding received from the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy's Nuclear Energy University Programs through the FHR IRP. Additionally, this material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration under Award Number: DE-NA0000979 through the Nuclear Science and Security Consortium.

REFERENCES

- [Andreades2014] C. Andreades, A. T. Cisneros, J. K. Choi, A. Y. . Chong, D. L. Krumwiede, L. Huddar, K. D. Huff, M. D. Laufer, M. Munk, R. O. Scarlat, J. E. Seifried, N. Zwiebaum, E. Greenspan, and P. F. Peterson, "Technical Description of the 'Mark 1' Pebble-Bed, Fluoride-Salt-Cooled, High-Temperature Reactor Power Plant," University of California, Berkeley, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Berkeley, CA, Thermal Hydraulics Group UCBTH-14-002, Sep. 2014.
- [Bell1970] G. I. Bell and S. Glasstone, Nuclear Reactor Theory. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1970.
- [Brandl2009] G. Brandl, Sphinx: Python Documentation Generator. URL: [http://sphinx.](http://sphinx) pocoo. org/index. html (13.8. 2012), 2009. [GitHub2015] GitHub, "GitHub: Build software better, together," GitHub,
- 2015. [Online]. Available: [https://github.com.](https://github.com) [Accessed: 17-Jun-2015]. [Grecco2014] H. E. Grecco, Pint: a Python Units Library.
- [https://github.com/hgrecco/pint.](https://github.com/hgrecco/pint) 2014. [Huff2015] K. Huff, PyRK: Python for Reactor Kinetics. [https://](https://pyrk.github.io)
- [pyrk.github.io.](https://pyrk.github.io) 2015. [Hunter2007] J. D. Hunter, "Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment," Computing in Science & Engineering, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 90–95, 2007.
- [Lienhard2011] Lienhard V and J. H. Lienhard IV, A Heat Transfer Textbook: Fourth Edition, Fourth Edition edition. Mineola, N.Y: Dover Publications, 2011.
- [Milman2011] K. J. Millman and M. Aivazis, "Python for Scientists and Engineers," Computing in Science & Engineering, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 9–12, Mar. 2011.
- [Oliphant2007] T. E. Oliphant, "Python for Scientific Computing," Computing in Science & Engineering, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 10–20, 2007.
- [Pellerin2015] J. Pellerin, nose. [https://pypi.python.org/pypi/nose/1.3.](https://pypi.python.org/pypi/nose/1.3.7) [7.](https://pypi.python.org/pypi/nose/1.3.7) 2015.
- [Ragusa2009] J. C. Ragusa and V. S. Mahadevan, "Consistent and accurate schemes for coupled neutronics thermal-hydraulics reactor analysis," Nuclear Engineering and Design, vol. 239, no. 3, pp. 566–579, Mar. 2009.
- [Sofu2011] T. Sofu, "A review of inherent safety characteristics of metal alloy sodium-cooled fast reactor fuel against postulated accidents," Nuclear Engineering and Technology, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 227–239, Apr. 2015.
- [Travis2015] Travis, "travis-ci/travis-api," GitHub repository. Available: [https://github.com/travis-ci/travis-api.](https://github.com/travis-ci/travis-api) Accessed: 04- Jul-2015.
- [vanderWalt2011] S. van der Walt, S. C. Colbert, and G. Varoquaux, "The NumPy Array: A Structure for Efficient Numerical Computation," Computing in Science & Engineering, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 22–30, Mar. 2011.